

Technology Risk & GRC Getting-Started Checklist

Fifteen questions to help leaders identify where technology governance, access, change, third-party, data, and resilience practices may need attention.

A starting conversation - not a full assessment

This checklist is intentionally high level. It helps leadership identify what is known, what is unclear, and which areas may warrant a deeper review.

What this resource helps you do

- Bring technology risk into leadership and governance discussions.
- Identify ownership gaps and areas where practices may be informal or inconsistent.
- Select a small number of priorities for follow-up rather than attempting to address everything at once.

How to use it

1	Discuss Review the questions with finance, operations, IT, compliance, and other relevant leaders.
2	Mark For each area, select Yes, Needs attention, or Not sure based on current evidence.
3	Prioritize Choose no more than three items for follow-up and assign an owner for the next conversation.

What this checklist does not cover

It is not a cybersecurity assessment, penetration test, regulatory compliance opinion, control design, vendor selection, implementation roadmap, or audit. Those activities require additional scope, evidence, and technical or regulatory context.

Technology Risk & GRC: 15 Starter Questions

Answer based on current evidence. A Not sure response can be as important as a Needs attention response.

1 | Governance & ownership

- Has leadership defined who owns technology risk and key decisions?
- Are significant technology risks discussed with the appropriate governing body?
- Are policies and responsibilities reviewed when the organization changes?

☐ Yes ☐ Needs attention ☐ Not sure

2 | Access & user lifecycle

- Is access approved based on job responsibilities and business need?
- Are departures and role changes reflected in system access promptly?
- Are privileged or administrator accounts limited and periodically reviewed?

☐ Yes ☐ Needs attention ☐ Not sure

3 | Change & third-party oversight

- Are significant system changes requested, tested, approved, and documented?
- Are critical technology vendors identified and assigned an internal owner?
- Does vendor oversight consider security, availability, data, and service continuity?

☐ Yes ☐ Needs attention ☐ Not sure

4 | Data protection

- Does the organization know where sensitive or regulated data is stored?
- Are retention, sharing, and disposal expectations clearly communicated?
- Are backup responsibilities and recovery expectations defined and tested?

☐ Yes ☐ Needs attention ☐ Not sure

5 | Resilience & incident response

- Is there a practical plan for responding to a technology or data incident?
- Are key contacts, escalation steps, and decision responsibilities current?
- Has leadership discussed how critical operations would continue during an outage?

☐ Yes ☐ Needs attention ☐ Not sure

Priority notes

- Priority 1: _____
- Priority 2: _____
- Priority 3: _____
- Owner for next discussion: _____

A useful result is clarity

The objective is not to mark every answer Yes. It is to create a more accurate view of ownership, evidence, and the areas that matter most to the organization.

Turn the Checklist Into a Focused Next Step

What your answers may be telling you

- Many Not sure responses may indicate limited visibility, unclear ownership, or missing documentation.
- Different answers from different leaders may indicate inconsistent practices or expectations.
- Repeated Needs attention responses in one area may signal a focused assessment is more useful than isolated fixes.

A practical first 30 days

- Confirm the executive or governance owner for the priority area.
- Gather the policies, reports, contracts, access lists, or other evidence already available.
- Define one clear risk statement and the business impact leadership wants to address.
- Agree on the next decision, the people needed, and a realistic due date.

When deeper support may be appropriate

- The organization is subject to a new contract, regulation, audit, customer request, or board expectation.
- Technology responsibilities are split across internal teams and outside providers with no clear oversight model.
- Leadership needs evidence-based prioritization, control documentation, remediation support, or a readiness assessment.
- A major system change, acquisition, rapid growth, or incident has changed the risk profile.

How JConner can help

JConner can help leadership clarify governance, assess selected technology-risk and GRC areas, document controls, organize evidence, prioritize gaps, and support practical remediation planning. Learn more at jconnerpc.com.

Selected references

[National Institute of Standards and Technology. Cybersecurity Framework 2.0](https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework)

<https://www.nist.gov/cyberframework>

[Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency. Cyber Essentials](https://www.cisa.gov/resources-tools/resources/cyber-essentials)

<https://www.cisa.gov/resources-tools/resources/cyber-essentials>

[KPMG. Implement GRC technology the right way](https://kpmg.com/kpmg-us/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2024/implement-grc-technology-right-way.pdf)

<https://kpmg.com/kpmg-us/content/dam/kpmg/pdf/2024/implement-grc-technology-right-way.pdf>

This resource provides general information and is not a substitute for professional advice, a formal risk assessment, a compliance determination, or a cybersecurity evaluation. Scope and requirements vary by organization, industry, contracts, and applicable laws and regulations.